

Effects of Stop Laryngeal Features on Duration of Preceding Vowels: Implications for Winter's Law

Chelsea Sanker

Brown University
Cognitive, Linguistic, and Psychological Sciences

29 November 2017

Vowel duration as influenced by voicing of following consonants

- ▶ Vowels are longer before voiced than voiceless obstruents in many languages, including Hindi (e.g. Durvasula & Luo 2014, Ohala & Ohala 1992)
 - ▶ though not all languages, e.g. Polish and Czech (Keating 1979) and some dialects of Arabic (Mitleb 1984), and possibly Telugu (Reddy 1988)
- ▶ Explanations have been proposed based on articulation (e.g. Chen 1970, Halle & Stevens 1967) and perception (e.g. Kluender et al. 1988, Javkin 1976)

Vowel duration as influenced by aspiration of following consonants

- ▶ Studies on how vowel duration interacts with aspiration, all in Hindi, have varied results
- ▶ Some found greater length before aspirated/breathy stops than unaspirated stops (e.g. Durvasula & Luo 2014), but others found no difference (e.g. Ohala & Ohala 1992)
- ▶ Hindi is not necessarily representative

Winter's Law

- ▶ Winter's law proposes that short vowels in Proto-Balto-Slavic were lengthened before plain voiced stops but not before voiced aspirated stops (Winter 1978)
- ▶ It has been questioned whether the law is phonetically natural (e.g. Shintani 1985, Rasmussen 1992)

Participants: Speakers

- ▶ 3 native speakers of Hindi (ages 18-45)
- ▶ 1 native speaker of Telugu (age 23)

Recordings

- ▶ Speakers were recorded in a sound-attenuated booth
- ▶ VC nonce words given in the orthography of the target language in randomized order
 - ▶ long and short vowels /a/, /i/, /u/ (in Hindi, they also have quality differences)
 - ▶ each laryngeal configuration for bilabial, dental, retroflex, and velar stops; two sonorants for comparison
 - ▶ Telugu forms were often realized with an excrescent final vowel, as word-final stops are not permitted
- ▶ Disfluencies and unclear utterances were omitted

Hypotheses about Aspiration and Breathy Voiced Stops

- ▶ Hypothesis: Only voicing has an effect on duration of preceding vowels; aspirated or breathy voiced stops will have the same effects as their plain counterparts
- ▶ Counter-Hypothesis 1: Aspiration/breathy release will result in vowels which are longer than vowels before plain stops
- ▶ Counter-Hypothesis 2: Aspiration/breathy release will result in vowels which are shorter than vowels before plain stops

Potential explanations: Compensatory timing

- ▶ Vowel lengthening before voiced obstruents due to shorter constrictions (Kluender, Diehl & Wright 1988)
 - ▶ However, Fowler (1991) found evidence against this perceptual cause of the voicing effect
 - ▶ Could the effect depend on the listener's native language?
- ▶ If extended to total duration of the stop closure + release, would predict shorter vowels before aspirated stops (voiced or voiceless)

Potential explanations: Phonation type

- ▶ The duration of vowels can differ by voicing type
 - ▶ Usually contrastively non-modal vowels are longer than their modal counterparts, if there is a difference (Gordon & Ladefoged 2001)
- ▶ Would predict longer vowels before breathy voiced stops than plain voiced stops and no effect of voiceless aspirated stops

Potential explanations: F0 (via voicing and breathiness)

- ▶ Pitch contours can make vowels seem longer (e.g. Yu 2010), so the F0 drops created by voicing (e.g. Kirby & Ladd 2016) could produce perceived lengthening
 - ▶ There is a greater F0 drop caused by breathy voice than modal voice (Hombert, Ohala, & Ewan 1979)
- ▶ If breathiness is restricted to the transition to the consonant, this would predict longer vowels before breathy voiced stops than plain voiced stops
- ▶ But if breathiness extends through the vowel, decreasing the overall F0, it could produce less of a contour, predicting shorter vowels before breathy voiced stops

Effects of the following consonant: Hindi

Table: Hindi vowel durations (ms) by following consonant

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated |
|--------------------------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| long vowels (i:, u:, a:) | 254 | 256 | 206 | 215 |
| short vowels (i, u, ə) | 148 | 148 | 127 | 124 |

Using a mixed effects model, Hindi vowels were significantly longer before voiced than voiceless stops ($p < 0.0001$); consistent with previous work in Hindi

No significant effect of aspiration ($p = 0.86$), nor interaction between aspiration and voicing ($p = 0.66$)

Effects of the following consonant: Telugu

Table: Telugu vowel durations (ms) by following consonant

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated |
|--------------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| long vowels | 326 | 336 | 322 | 298 |
| short vowels | 159 | 132 | 147 | 138 |

Telugu vowels were not significantly longer before voiced than voiceless stops ($p = 0.57$); consistent with Reddy 1988

Vowels were slightly shorter before aspirated than unaspirated stops ($p = 0.039$)

Weighing explanations

- ▶ No effect of aspiration in Hindi; in Telugu, aspirated stops (voiced and voiceless) result in shorter vowels
- ▶ Compensatory timing seems appealing – but would need evidence for why Fowler's (1991) results suggest otherwise
- ▶ Breathiness + voicing effects on F0 contours could explain the pattern if Telugu vowels assimilate in breathiness – but doesn't seem to account for voiceless aspirated stops

Closure durations in Hindi stops

Table: Hindi stop closure durations (ms)

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated |
|--------------------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| after long vowels | 81 | 85.4 | 144.2 | 140.8 |
| after short vowels | 103.2 | 99.3 | 158.9 | 143.9 |

Using a linear mixed effects model, closures were significantly longer for voiceless stops than voiced stops ($p < 0.001$)

Closures were shorter after long vowels than after short vowels ($p < 0.001$), mostly driven by differences among voiced stops

No significant differences between the closure durations of aspirated and unaspirated stops

Hindi closure duration relative to long vowel duration

A negative correlation between long vowel duration and duration of the stop closure, though not always significant:

- ▶ Voiced $r(68) = -0.057$, $p = 0.64$
- ▶ Voiced aspirated $r(63) = -0.28$, $p = 0.019$
- ▶ Voiceless $r(61) = -0.32$, $p = 0.0071$
- ▶ Voiceless aspirated $r(51) = -0.069$, $p = 0.62$

No consistent pattern in correlations between vowel duration and total consonant duration (closure + release)

Hindi closure duration relative to short vowel duration

Smaller negative correlation between short vowel duration and duration of the stop closure, and only among voiced stops:

- ▶ Voiced $r(57) = -0.17$, $p = 0.19$
- ▶ Voiced aspirated $r(51) = -0.25$, $p = 0.063$
- ▶ Voiceless $r(65) = 0.049$, $p = 0.69$
- ▶ Voiceless aspirated $r(54) = 0.038$, $p = 0.78$

No consistent pattern in correlations between vowel duration and total consonant duration (closure + release)

Closure duration in Telugu stops

Table: Telugu stop closure durations (ms)

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated |
|--------------------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| after long vowels | 78.6 | 73.3 | 138.6 | 105.5 |
| after short vowels | 84.3 | 85.7 | 144.7 | 118.7 |

Using a linear mixed effects model, closures were significantly longer for voiceless stops than voiced stops ($p < 0.001$)

Stop closures were somewhat longer after short vowels ($p = 0.069$)

No significant main effect of aspiration on closure duration ($p = 0.91$), but an interaction with voicing ($p = 0.0044$)

Closure duration relative to vowel duration in Telugu

Within categories, there was no correlation between the duration of the vowel and the duration of the following stop closure:

- ▶ Voiced $r(49) = 0.047$, $p = 0.74$
- ▶ Voiced aspirated $r(57) = 0.026$, $p = 0.84$
- ▶ Voiceless $r(40) = 0.039$, $p = 0.81$
- ▶ Voiceless aspirated $r(50) = -0.14$, $p = 0.32$

Total stop duration relative to vowel duration in Telugu

Data was limited because release duration was only measured when there was no following vowel; perhaps a trend towards negative correlation

- ▶ Voiced $r(8) = -0.48, p = 0.12$
- ▶ Voiced aspirated $r(16) = -0.18, p = 0.47$
- ▶ Voiceless $r(12) = 0.24, p = 0.39$
- ▶ Voiceless aspirated $r(9) = -0.18, p = 0.59$

Breathiness in Hindi vowels

Table: Hindi spectral tilt (H1-H2)

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| any vowel | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 5.3 |

No significant differences; between voiced aspirated and other stops, $p = 0.68$

Vowels are not breathier before the voiced aspirated/breathy stops than elsewhere

Breathiness in Telugu vowels

Table: Telugu spectral tilt (H1-H2)

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| any vowel | -3.1 | -5.1 | -3.0 | -3.2 |

There is a suggestive difference in vowels being breathier before voiced aspirated/breathy stops than elsewhere, though it does not reach significance ($p = 0.077$)

F0 in Hindi (male speakers only)

Table: Hindi maximum F0 (Hz) by following consonant

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated | sonorants |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| any vowel | 159.8 | 168.2 | 173.4 | 176.3 | 170.6 |

Table: Hindi minimum F0 (Hz) by following consonant

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated | sonorants |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| any vowel | 140.9 | 148.7 | 152.3 | 156.4 | 150.0 |

Trend towards lower F0 before voiced obstruents, but not significant in maximum F0 ($p = 0.095$) or minimum F0 ($p = 0.11$)

No significant effects of aspiration, though vowels before aspirated voiced stops have a trend towards higher F0s than those before plain voiced stops

F0 in Telugu

Table: Telugu maximum F0 (Hz) by following consonant

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated | sonorants |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| any vowel | 213.5 | 208.9 | 224.6 | 228.2 | 226.5 |

Table: Telugu minimum F0 (Hz) by following consonant

| | voiced | voiced aspirated | voiceless | voiceless aspirated | sonorants |
|-----------|--------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| any vowel | 188.0 | 187.1 | 199.9 | 203.8 | 200.4 |

Before voiced stops, there is a lower F0 minimum ($p = 0.014$) and maximum ($p = 0.0093$)

No significant effect of aspiration, though a trend towards a smaller F0 range before breathy voiced stops

Conclusions: Winter's Law

- ▶ The Telugu results suggest that it would be possible to have lengthening before plain voiced stops and not before aspirated voiced stops
- ▶ Could inform phonetic characteristics of the PIE voiced aspirates, depending on what explanation is believed

Conclusions: Vowel Lengthening Effects

- ▶ What underlies the voicing effect still remains uncertain
- ▶ But the interaction with aspiration provides additional information limiting what explanations of the voicing effect are plausible

References

- Chen, M. 1970. Vowel length variation as a function of the voicing of the consonant environment. *Phonetica*, 22, 129–159.
- Durvasula, K., & Luo, Q. 2014. Voicing, aspiration, and vowel duration in Hindi. *Proceedings of Meetings on Acoustics*, 18, 060009.
- Fowler, C. 1991. Vowel duration and closure duration in voiced and unvoiced stops: There are no contrast effects here. *Haskins Laboratories Status Report on Speech Research*, SR-107/108, 123–140.
- Gordon, M., & Ladefoged, P. (2001). Phonation types: a cross-linguistic overview. *Journal of Phonetics*, 29, 383–406.
- Halle, M., & Stevens, K. 1967. On the mechanism of glottal vibration for vowels and consonants. *MIT Research Laboratory of Electronics, Quarterly Progress Reports*, 85, 267–271.
- Hombert, J.-M., Ohala, J., & Ewan, W. 1979. Phonetic explanations for the development of tones. *Language*, 55(1), 37–58.
- Javkin, H. 1976. The perceptual basis of vowel duration differences associated with the voiced/voiceless distinction. *Report of the Berkeley Phonology Laboratory*, 1, 78–92.
- Keating, P. A. 1979. *A Phonetic Study of Voicing Contrast in Polish*. PhD diss, Brown University.
- Kirby, J., & Ladd, R. 2016. Effects of obstruent voicing on vowel F0: Evidence from “true voicing” languages. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 140(4), 2400–2411.
- Kluender, K., Diehl, R., & Wright, B. 1988. Vowel-length differences before voiced and voiceless consonants: An auditory explanation. *Journal of Phonetics*, 16, 153–169.
- Mitleb, F. 1984. Voicing effect on vowel duration is not an absolute universal. *Journal of Phonetics*, 12, 23–27.
- Ohala, M. & Ohala, J. 1992. Phonetic universals and Hindi segment duration. In Ohala, J., Nearey, T., Derwing, B., Hodge, M., & Wiebe, G. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Spoken Language Processing, Banff, 12-16 October 1992*, 831–834.
- Rasmussen, J. 1992. Winter’s Law of Balto-Slavic Lengthening: An unnatural fact? *Copenhagen Working Papers in Linguistics*, 2, 63–77.
- Reddy, K. N. 1988. The duration of Telugu speech sounds: An acoustic study. *IETE Journal of Research*, 34(1), 57–63.
- Shintani, T. 1985. On Winter’s Law in Balto-Slavic. *Arbejdsrapporter udsendt af Kobenhavns Universitet (APILKU)*, 5, 273–296.
- Winter, W. 1978. The distribution of short and long vowels in steps of the type Lith. ěsti : vēsti : mēsti and OCS jasti : vesti : mesti in Baltic and Slavic languages. In J. Fisiak (ed.), *Recent developments in historical phonology (Trends in linguistics. Studies and monographs 4)*, 431–446. Mouton: New York.
- Yu, A. 2010. Tonal effects on perceived vowel duration. In Fougeron, C., Kuehnert, B., Imperio, M., & Vallee, N. *Laboratory Phonology* 10, 151–168.